

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
09 Plaintiff,) CASE NO. CR08-010-RSL
10 v.)
11 ALEXANDER CALVIN REEDUS,) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
12 Defendant.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO
ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13

14 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before
15 me on September 24, 2010. The United States was represented by AUSA Andrew Colasurdo and
16 the defendant by Gilbert Levy. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about September 12, 2008, by the Honorable Robert
18 S. Lasnik on a charge of Felon in Possession of a Firearm, and sentenced to 27 months custody,
19 3 years supervised release. (Dkt. 55.)

20 The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the
21 requirements that defendant participate in a substance abuse program, abstain from alcohol,
22 submit to search, and complete Moral Reconation Therapy.

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS
TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
PAGE -1

01 On December 4, 2009, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant had tested
02 positive for marijuana on January 11, 2010 and May 12, 2010. (Dkt. 56.) Defendant was
03 reprimanded, the frequency of testing was increased, and he was referred for professional
04 assessment, counseling and intensive outpatient treatment. No further action was taken at the
05 time.

06 In an application dated August 9, 2010 (Dkt. 57-58), U.S. Probation Officer Joe G.
07 Mendez alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:

08 1. Committing the crimes of Promoting Prostitution, on or about August 4, 2010, and
09 Assault, on or about April 2010, in violation of the mandatory condition that he not commit
10 another federal, state or local crime.

11 2. Using Marijuana on or before January 11, 2010, and May 12, 2010, in violation
12 of standard condition #7.

13 On September 24, 2010, the government moved to dismiss alleged violation 1, following
14 the decision by local law enforcement to not pursue the charges.

15 Defendant was advised in full as to alleged violation 2 and as to his constitutional rights.

16 Defendant admitted alleged violation 2 and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether
17 it occurred.

18 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged
19 in violation 2, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. I
20 recommend that the Court dismiss alleged violation 1. The next hearing will be set before Judge
21 Lasnik.

22 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been released on the conditions

01 of supervision.

02 DATED this 24th day of September, 2010.

03 

04 Mary Alice Theiler
05 United States Magistrate Judge

06 cc: District Judge: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik
07 AUSA: Andrew Colasurdo
08 Defendant's attorney: Gilbert Levy
09 Probation officer: Joe G. Mendez, Todd Sanders
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22